

**Minutes of the Licensing Sub-Committee 3**

**Tuesday 26<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 at 10.00 am**  
**in the Council Chamber, The Council House, Dudley**

**Present:-**

Councillor J Cowell (Chair)  
Councillors D Perks and D Russell

**Officers:-**

R Clark (Principal Solicitor – Resources and Transformation Directorate),  
S Smith (Interim Licensing Manager – Place Directorate) and K Taylor  
(Democratic Services Officer – Resources and Transformation Directorate).

9       **Apology for Absence**

An apology for absence from the meeting was received on behalf of  
Councillor A Taylor.

---

10       **Appointment of Substitute Member**

It was reported that Councillor D Russell had been appointed as a  
substitute Member for Councillor A Taylor for this meeting of the Sub-  
Committee only.

---

11       **Declarations of Interest**

No Member made a declaration of interest in accordance with the  
Members' Code of Conduct.

---

12       **Minutes**

**Resolved**

That the minutes of the meeting of the Sub-Committee held on 22<sup>nd</sup>  
March, 2016 be approved as a correct record and signed.

---

13       **Application for Review of Premises Licence – Enville Street News, 123  
Enville Street, Stourbridge**

A report of the Strategic Director Place was submitted on an application for the review of the premises licence in respect of the premises licence for Enville Street News, 123 Enville Street, Stourbridge.

The following persons attended the meeting in respect of this application:-

Mr P Burke – PMB Licensing  
Mrs N Zahban – Current Premises Licence Holder and Designated Premises Supervisor  
Mr M Abbas – Previous Premises Licence Holder  
Mr G Wintrip – Trading Standards  
Mrs D McNulty – Public Health  
Mr B Hughes – Licensing

Following introductions, the Interim Licensing Manager presented the report on behalf of the Council. It was noted that following the writing of the report the premises had been sold to Mrs Zahban and an application to transfer the premises licence to Mrs Zahban was granted on the 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016.

Mr Wintrip then presented the facts of the case relating to the prevention of crime and disorder under the Licensing Act 2003, which had resulted in the application being made for a review of the premises. It was explained that on 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2015, 265 packs of illicit and counterfeit cigarettes and 59 packs of illicit tobacco were seized from the premises, which was in direct contravention of the licensing objectives. It was further noted that illicit alcohol had been seized from the premises on three occasions between April, 2013 and May, 2014.

Mr Hughes provided evidence in respect of Mrs Zahban, in particular, that she was also the Premises Licence Holder and Designated Premises Supervisor at a premise in Cinder Bank, Netherton. It was noted that the revocation of the premises licence was enforced by a Licensing Sub-Committee in February, 2015 following the sale of illicit alcohol and tobacco. Mr Hughes raised his concerns of Mrs Zahban's management of the premises, in particular in relation to staff training in age restricted products and challenging under aged people attempting to purchase alcohol. It was reported that Mrs Zahban was not present during his visits and denied knowledge of a refusals register stating that this was the responsibility of the store owner; it was acknowledged, however, that there was sufficient CCTV installed at the premises.

Mr Hughes confirmed that he had written to Mrs Zahban on 12<sup>th</sup> April, 2016 regarding compliance of the conditions that was attached to the premises licence at Cinder Bank, Netherton, and requested that no persons that had been previously involved with the sale of illicit age restricted products should be employed at Enville Street News.

In responding to a question by a Member, Mr Burke confirmed that Mrs Zahban had applied for a premises licence at Cinder Bank, Netherton following its revocation in 2015, and that an agreement was made to attach conditions to the new premises licence.

Mrs McNulty commented on the impact on health in adolescents as a consequence of tobacco use and alcohol consumption and it was considered that the sale of illicit alcohol and alcohol was a serious matter. Any actions to prevent the sale of unauthorised sources were entirely supported.

Following comments made regarding Mrs Zahban's management at Cinder Bank, Netherton, Mr Hughes commented that he had witnessed a Mr Daraz present at the premises, and although Mr Daraz was involved with the previous management of the premises he was unsure of his current involvement.

Mr Burke, Solicitor acting on behalf of Enville Street News, reported that Mr Abbas had a number of personal problems over a 18 month period, which had resulted in him selling his business and pursuing a career as a private hire taxi driver. He also recommended a number of additional conditions to be included on the premises licence for Enville Street News to ensure the effective running and management of the premises in the future. A copy of the conditions, which had also been agreed by Mrs Zahban, was circulated to the Sub-Committee.

Following comments made regarding her absence at Cinder Bank, Netherton, Mrs Zahban confirmed that on one occasion her husband, Mr Jamil, had assisted at the premises whilst she attended her daughter's medical appointment. Mr Hughes also confirmed that he had not identified training records for Mr Jamil or Mr Daraz.

Following the representations made today, Mr Burke stated that he had instructed Mrs Zahban to implement and understand the conditions of the premises licence at Cinder Bank, Netherton as a matter of urgency, and that he was willing to undertake training of staff members, and provide advisory information including a refusals register on a regular basis.

Mr Hughes echoed his previous concerns in particular that he was not assured that Mrs Zahban would take an active role as a premises licence holder and designated premises supervisor at both premises. In responding, Mrs Zahban stated that she understood her responsibility and would ensure that there would be no further problems.

Mr Burke stated that since Mrs Zahban had managed Cinder Bank, Netherton, there had been no issues or complaints. Mr Wintrip in responding to a question by a member, could not confirm whether there had been any test purchase exercises at the premises.

In responding to a question by a member on how Mrs Zahban proposed to manage both premises, Mrs Zahban confirmed that she would recruit more employees, in particular that Mr Abbas would not be employed or involved with the management at either premises.

Concerns were raised by the Sub-Committee in relation to the poor management and understanding by Mrs Zahban, and outlined the importance of maintaining a refusals register in order to demonstrate compliance.

Mr Burke gave assurance to the Sub-Committee that the conditions placed on the premises licence would be upheld, and that he had explained the consequences to Mrs Zahban should the conditions not be implemented and adhered to.

In responding to a question by the Legal Advisor, Mr Abbas confirmed that he had no personal or professional connection to Mrs Zahban.

Following a number of questions, it was reported that a Mr F Khan who was employed at Enville Street News was the brother of Mr Abbas and was also employed during the time of the sale of illicit age restricted products. It was noted that Mr Khan was not involved with the purchasing of stock for the premises.

It was also confirmed that Mrs Zahban intended to be present at Enville Street News up to four hours each day.

Reference was made to the conditions suggested by Mr Burke, on behalf of Mrs Zahban, and all parties agreed that they had no objections to the inclusion of the conditions as long as they were enforceable.

In summing up, Mr Wintrip on behalf of Trading Standards, stated that when Mrs Zahban had applied for the transfer of premises licence at Enville Street News, assurance was given that there would be no connection to the previous management, however following the representations made today in particular in relation to Mr Khan, his concerns was heightened.

In summing up, Mr Burke reported that Mrs Zahban was not aware of Mr Khan's involvement with the previous management and confirmed that Mr Khan would no longer be working at Enville Street News.

In responding to a question by the Chair, all parties agreed that they had sufficient opportunity to present their case.

The parties then withdrew from the meeting to enable the Sub-Committee to determine the application.

The Sub-Committee having made their decision invited the parties to return and the Chair then outlined the decision.

### **Resolved**

That, following consideration of the information contained in the report submitted and presented at the meeting, the premises licence in respect of Enville Street News, 123 Enville Street, Stourbridge be suspended for a period of four weeks, and that Mrs Zahban be removed as the Designated Premises Supervisor.

The following conditions will also be applied to the premises licence, as follows:-

- (1) All tobacco and alcohol purchased for sale at the premises must only be purchased from a recognised, reputable and traceable wholesaler.
- (2) Foreign tobacco, which does not comply with relevant UK legislation, shall not be stored and/or sold at the premise.
- (3) All tobacco and alcohol purchased for sale at the premises must be covered by a receipt. The receipt must be on headed notepaper bearing the name, address and contact number where appropriate. These receipts will be kept in a file for a minimum of 2 years and must be made available for inspection, on demand, by an officer of a responsible authority.
- (4) A stock control system will be maintained, to enable the licensee and designated premises supervisor to quickly identify where and when alcoholic and tobacco products have been purchased.
- (5) An ultra-violet light will be available at the premises and will be used for the purpose of checking the UK Duty Stamps on spirits as soon as practicable after purchase.
- (6) If any spirits purchased for or on behalf of the business have UK Duty Stamps that do not fluoresce under ultra-violet light, the licensee and/or designated premises supervisor shall report the supplier to Dudley MBC Trading Standards and HMRC immediately.
- (7) If any tobacco products purchased for or on behalf of the business does not have UK Duty Paid fiscal mark the licensee and/or designated premises supervisor shall report the supplier to Dudley MBC Trading Standards and HMRC immediately.

- (8) The CCTV system must be installed and operate internally to cover all areas that licensable activities take place and where alcohol is displayed within any public area.
- (9) The CCTV unit shall be positioned in a secure part of the licensed premise. Access to the system should be allowed to an officer of a responsible authority upon request.
- (10) A competent trained person in the use of an operation of the CCTV will be in attendance at the premises at all times that licensable activities take place and be able to fully operate the CCTV system to be able to down load in a recognised format any information requested by an officer of a responsible authority.
- (11) All CCTV images will be retained for a period of not less than 31 days.
- (12) An incident register of all occurrences and ejections from the premises will be maintained at the premises and all details of public order offences will be recorded.
- (13) All staff involved in the sale of restricted products including alcohol will receive initial and subsequent 6 monthly refresher training by the Designated Premises Supervisor or an appropriately accredited training provider with regards to the law in relation to the sale of alcohol. This will be recorded in a staff training register and will include, signature of the member of staff, the Designated Premises Supervisor or an appropriately accredited training provider together with the date. Individual training records will also contain photographic I.D.
- (14) At any time when there is no Personal Licence Holder on the premises there must be at least one member of staff on duty inside the premise who has been authorised by the Designated Premises Supervisor. Any such person should have seen, read and be fully aware of the conditions of the premise licence and take all steps to ensure that such conditions are adhered to whilst they have responsibility for the premise.
- (15) A record should be kept detailing the name and address relative to the person left in charge of the premise and the times and dates when the authority to cover exists, in writing.

- (16) The authorised person should also sign on each occasion that he/she has physically seen, inspected and is fully aware of all the conditions attached to the premise licence. The Designated Premises Supervisor should sign to acknowledge that they agree to the authorised person being in charge of the premise for any times specified. The records of these matters should be kept fully updated at all times.
- (17) The training records and the record of condition 15 shall be retained at the premises for a period of no less than 12 months and made available to responsible authorities on request.
- (18) A Challenge 25 policy will be adopted in order to reduce the potential for underage sales of age restricted products including alcohol. If a customer appears to be under 25 years of age and cannot prove that they are eligible to purchase the age restricted item with a valid UK or Ireland Photocard Driving Licence, a valid Passport or PASS accredited proof of age scheme card, they will be refused service.
- (19) Challenge 25 posters will be displayed at the premises to reinforce this policy. Posters will be placed at; each till, each area alcohol is stocked and at the point of entry into the store. The posters will be displayed prominently and in sight of customers and staff.
- (20) A Refusals Register is to be used to record all incidents when a sale is refused. The register is to be kept in the store at all times unless it is requested by authorised officers of responsible authorities. The Refusal/Challenge Register is to be checked and signed off by the Designated Premises Supervisor every two weeks.

#### Reasons for Decision

This is a review of the premises license for Enville Street News, Stourbridge. The review is brought by Dudley Trading Standards on the ground of the prevention of crime and disorder.

The Premises License Holder was Mr M Abbas and he is also the Designated Premises Supervisor. He held the premises license since 19<sup>th</sup> July, 2010. Mr M Abbas attended represented by Mr P Burke. Mrs N Zahban is the new Premises License Holder, pursuant to an application for transfer received on 30<sup>th</sup> March, 2016. The application for review was brought before the application for and grant of transfer of the Premises License.

Mr Hughes, officer from the licensing authority attended today, in respect of the application for transfer of license which had been granted since the application for review was brought. He gave evidence that there were concerns at her other premises in Cinder Bank (she has been the premises license holder since March 2015 and is also the Designated Premises Supervisor there) regarding the sale of smuggled tobacco and the license was revoked. At the point at which she sought a new license for the Cinder Bank Premises, she asserted that she had no connection with the previous management of the premises. However, Mr Hughes has observed on two occasions one man, Mr Daraz, to be recently present in the Cinder Bank premise who was involved in the store under the previous Premises License holder. There were also concerns around the training of staff relating to age restricted products and the absence of a refusals register in that store. When questioned by Mr Hughes in store, Mrs Zahban initially stated that this was the responsibility of the store owner.

The review has been brought further to Trading Standards and West Midlands Police executing a warrant on 18<sup>th</sup> November, 2015 after they received intelligence on 9<sup>th</sup> November that tobacco and cigarettes were being sold from the store unlawfully. The search revealed 265 hidden packets of cigarettes and 59 hidden packets of tobacco, some in an upstairs bedroom. 157 packets were found to be counterfeit and all were smuggled into the country to avoid UK duty (estimated at over £2000). Mr Abbas has admitted in interview selling many thousands of pounds worth of smuggled tobacco in the previous 18 month period.

Historically, Trading Standards seized three bottles of vodka from the premises on 24<sup>th</sup> April, 2013 with counterfeit duty labels, a further 2 bottles of vodka and 1 of whisky on 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2013 (again with counterfeit duty labels) and on 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2014 one further bottle of whisky with a counterfeit duty label. On 14<sup>th</sup> March, 2014, Mr Abbas was sent a warning letter a warning letter in relation to the sale of products with counterfeit duty labels. He therefore was well aware that alcohol with counterfeit duty labels was on the market before the further bottle was found in his shop in May, 2014. He was also fully aware about the concerns relating to selling counterfeit products and products that evaded UK duty, before the tobacco was seized from the store in November, 2015. Indeed, it is clear, not least from his mobile telephone records, that he was selling smuggled tobacco in 2014 and 2015.

Mrs Zahban confirmed today that she had used Mr Daraz to cover the store at Cinder Bank on one occasion when her child had a health emergency. Mr Daraz was present on two occasions when Mr Hughes has visited the Cinder Bank store after the new premises license was granted.

Mr Hughes was concerned about Mrs Zahban being the Designated Premises Supervisor of two premises, now at Enville Street also, particularly in the light of her management of the training and refusals register at Cinder Bank, and in the light of her child care responsibilities.

Mrs Zahban confirmed today that Mr Abbas would play no part in the premises at Enville Street from this time forward, and that she had effectively started to manage these premises from Friday 22<sup>nd</sup> April, 2016. Mr Abbas confirmed that he had no connection by family or friendship to Mrs Zahban and now has no role whatsoever in the business. Mrs Zahban confirmed that she had purchased the lease of the premises from Friday 22<sup>nd</sup> April. She confirmed that she would be at Enville Street as the Designated Premises Supervisor at least 4 hours a week. She also confirmed that Mr Abbas' brother, Mr Khan, would be working at the shop however, as a sales assistant only. She did not see that this connection was relevant to Mr Abbas' previous behaviour. Mr. Hughes was very concerned that he was working previously in the store under Mr Abbas. Mrs Zahban stated that she had not previously been aware of this connection, and that as a result of the evidence heard today, she would no longer employ him at Enville Street.

With regard to the refusals register and staff training at Cinder Bank, Mr Burke confirmed that Mrs Zahban was to instruct him to give training and information to all of the staff employed in the Cinder Bank Store. Mrs Zahban confirmed that she would employ Mr Burke to give that training to all her sales staff at Cinder Bank.

Having heard the evidence from all parties today, the Sub-Committee is not satisfied that Mrs Zahban has maintained the training of staff at her Cinder Bank shop, has not maintained training records and has not ensured that a refusals register is being used at the premises. Mr Hughes had visited the premises twice recently and found this to be the case, and Mrs Zahban did not dispute this. She did state that she would ensure that Mr Burke was employed to train all her staff there. However, this only appeared to have been considered by her today, whereas this should be obvious to an experienced Designated Premises Supervisor.

In the light of this evidence, and in the light of her own child care commitments, the Sub-Committee is not satisfied that Mrs Zahban is able to uphold the licensing objectives in two premises, as the Designated Premises Supervisor in both. The Sub-Committee therefore takes the step of removing her as the Designated Premises Supervisor from the premises at Enville Street.

The Sub-Committee is also concerned that the staff selling alcohol at Enville Street may not be fully trained. Mr Khan, as from today, will no longer be employed in the shop, which is a positive step but also reduces the number of staff. In the light of her failure to train her staff and maintain records at Cinder Bank, the Sub-Committee takes the step of suspending the premises licence for 4 weeks to enable her to recruit and train her staff and put records and refresher training in place.

Finally, Mr Burke has put forward a number of conditions that Mrs Zahban agrees should be attached to her premises licence. The Sub-Committee finds that these conditions, as amended between the parties today in Sub-Committee, shall be attached to the premises licence in order to address the concerns arising at the premises.

Mrs Zahban was informed of her right to appeal the decision of the Sub-Committee.

---

14      **Application for Review of Premises Licence – A & B News Off Licence and Convenience, 34 – 36 Poole Crescent, Coseley**

A report of the Strategic Director Place was submitted on an application for the review of the premises licence in respect of the premises licence for A & B News Off Licence and Convenience, 34-36 Poole Crescent, Coseley.

The following persons attended the meeting in respect of this application:-

Mrs B Purewal and Mr A Purewal – Joint Premises Licence Holder  
Mr G Wintrip – Trading Standards  
Mrs D McNulty – Public Health  
Mr B Hughes – Licensing

Following introductions, the Interim Licensing Manager presented the report on behalf of the Council.

Mr Wintrip then presented the facts of the case relating to the prevention of crime and disorder and the protection of children from harm under the Licensing Act 2003, which had resulted in the application being made for a review of the premises. It was explained that on 12<sup>th</sup> February, 2016, a sixteen year old female child test purchaser was sold alcohol, namely one bottle of Lambrini Cherry sparking fruit wine with 5% abv from the premises, which was contrary to Section 146(1) of the Licensing Act 2003 and in direct contravention of the licensing objectives.

It was reported that on 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2010 an alcohol test purchase was conducted at the premises that resulted in a sale of alcohol to the underage test purchase volunteer by Mr A Purewal. Following the sale, Mr and Mrs Purewal were interviewed formally and issued with a warning.

Mrs McNulty commented on the impact on health in adolescents as a consequence of alcohol consumption and it was considered that the sale of alcohol to young people was a serious matter. Any actions to prevent the sale of alcohol to a young person on the grounds of protecting children from harm were entirely supported.

Mr Purewal stated that he understood the implications of under aged persons consuming alcohol and his responsibility as Designated Premises Supervisor, and that the sale of alcohol was a mistake. He further stated that he had worked at the premises for 10 years and was involved with the local community.

Mrs Purewal informed the Sub-Committee that during February, 2016, she was out of the country and therefore there was no additional staff at the premises. She referred to the comments that Mr Purewal was unable to produce the refusals register when requested by officers, and stated that Mr Purewal was shocked at the incident and was unwell at the time, as the refusals register had always been used and identification was routinely requested.

Following a request, Mr and Mrs Purewal circulated the refusals register, and confirmed that they had not installed an electronic point of sale register.

Reference was made to the test purchase exercise in 2010, and Mr and Mrs Purewal confirmed that they had since updated the CCTV system and displayed a number of posters relating to age restricted products. They also assured the Sub-Committee that the sale of alcohol was a genuine mistake which was not undertaken deliberately.

In summing up, Mr Wintrip expressed his disappointment that a further sale of alcohol to an under aged volunteer had taken place, however Mr and Mrs Purewal were very co-operative and he considered that if the Sub-Committee were minded to attach the conditions, suggested by Trading Standards, to the premises licence these should prevent a further sale.

In responding to a question by the Legal Advisor, Mr Purewal confirmed that he was in agreement with the conditions suggested by Trading Standards as the majority were already implemented.

In responding to a question by the Chair, Mr and Mrs Purewal confirmed that they had had a fair hearing.

The parties then withdrew from the meeting to enable the Sub-Committee to determine the application.

The Sub-Committee having made their decision invited the parties to return and the Chair then outlined the decision.

### **Resolved**

That, following consideration of the information contained in the report submitted and presented at the meeting, the following conditions be applied to the premises licence in respect of A & B News Off Licence and Convenience, 34-36 Poole Crescent, Coseley, Dudley; as follows:-

- (1) A written Proof of Age Policy (Challenge 25) is to be put in force, which all staff authorised to sell alcohol will be trained in and adhere to. Valid proof of identification will only include passport, photographic driving licence or a Proof of Age standards Scheme (PASS) proof of age card such as Citizen Card. No other form of identification shall be accepted.
- (2) A4 notices to be displayed on the door to the premises and near the point of sale stating that it is an offence to buy alcohol for persons under the age of 18.
- (3) A Register of Refusals of Sale of Alcohol which indicates the date, time and reason for refusal will be operated and maintained at the premises. The Premises Licence Holder shall review the book once a week ensuring it is completed and up-to-date. The Premises Licence Holder will sign the book each time it is checked. This book shall be made available for inspection by an officer of any responsible authority.
- (4) CCTV to be in place at the premises and to be recording at all times when the premises are open for licensable activity, to the specifications of the West Midlands Police Crime Reduction Officer so that the alcohol display area and the point of sale area can be viewed. All images are to be recorded and kept for a minimum of 28 days and made available to any responsible authority upon request immediately, and all staff are to be trained and able to operate and download CCTV. The hard drive is to be locked but readily accessible to staff.

- (5) The Premises Licence Holder will take proportionate steps to review the premises CCTV on a weekly basis in order to identify persons under the age of 18 who are attempting to buy alcohol or persons over the age of 18 buying on their behalf. A record of these checks shall be maintained and be available for inspection upon request by an officer of any responsible authority.
- (6) All persons engaged to sell alcohol must complete a training programme, which includes a written test, to verify the competency of that person prior to them being authorised to sell alcohol.
- (7) The premises licence holder shall ensure that monthly reviews are conducted with any persons authorised to sell alcohol in order to reinforce training, promote best practice and policy. The monthly reviews will be recorded in writing.
- (8) A file shall be maintained at the premises for each person authorised to sell alcohol (with proof of identity which will be a copy of passport and/or driving licence). This file shall contain all training records for each person along with copies of monthly reviewed as stated in point 7. This file shall be made available for inspection by any officer from a responsible authority upon request.
- (9) Any person who is suspected of purchasing alcohol for any person under age, shall be refused service.

#### Reasons for Decision

This is a review of the premises license of A&B News, Coseley, brought by Dudley Trading Standards, on the grounds of the prevention of crime and disorder and protection of children from harm.

The premises license holders are Mr A and Mrs B Purewal and they have held the license since 9<sup>th</sup> May, 2007. Both attended committee today. Mr D Ram is the Designated Premises Supervisor.

On 12<sup>th</sup> February, 2016 a sale of a bottle of 5% fruit wine was made to a 16 year old female test purchaser. The sale was made by a Mr A Purewal, who did not ask age or for any identification before making the sale. He was also unable to produce a refusals register when asked to do so and made no reply when he was subsequently cautioned and asked to explain his actions.

Historically, on 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2010 Mr A Purewal made a sale of alcohol to a child test purchaser. Advisory visits were then made to the premises on 11<sup>th</sup> July, 2011 and 28<sup>th</sup> June, 2012, and records show that Mrs B Purewal was present on the 28<sup>th</sup> June, 2012 and was given advice and an advice and resource pack relating to the sale of age restricted products. Further advice visits took place on 21<sup>st</sup> August, 2013 and 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2014.

A tobacco test purchase was conducted on 11<sup>th</sup> February, 2015, with no sale being made and an alcohol test purchase conducted on 27<sup>th</sup> February, 2015 with no sale being made.

Mr Purewal attended today, admitted making the sale, and admitted that he had made a mistake on 12<sup>th</sup> February. He apologised to the committee. Mrs Purewal stated that she was in India at the time and that Mr Purewal had been working in the store on his own, a staff member had not turned up for work and that Mr Purewal was ill with flu, and should not really have been at work. Mr Purewal stated that the refusals register was there, and being used, but that he went blank when challenged about the sale. He described himself as being shocked.

Mr Purewal also admitted that it was him who made the sale in 2010, and since then, he had upgraded the CCTV, put up challenge 25 posters and had a citizenship card stand in store.

Mr Wintrip's evidence was that the couple have been very cooperative since the test purchase and that the suggested conditions, if attached to the premises licence and abided by, would be sufficient to address the concerns raised today.

The Sub-Committee therefore attach the conditions put forward by Trading Standards, and notes that Mr and Mrs Purewal do not oppose these conditions, and have implemented most of them already.

The meeting ended at 12.55 pm

CHAIR